

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

July 29, 2016

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7013 2250 0000 2310 2583

Joseph Stoddard Inspiration Custom Homes, LLC 395 Bayside Drive Saratoga Springs, Utah 84045

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Notice of Violation No. MC-2015-62-04, Inspiration Custom

Homes, LLC., Stoddard Mine, S/039/0042, Sanpete County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Stoddard:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced notice of violation (NOV). The NOV was issued by Division inspector, Peter Brinton, on September 16, 2015. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$2,310.00September 1, 2016. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this NOV has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.



Page **2** of **2** Joseph Stoddard S/039/0042 July 29, 2016

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by September 1, 2016). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler

Assessment Officer

LK: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

 $P:\label{lem:line_non-compliance} P:\label{line_non-compliance} P:\label{line_non-compliance}$

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

I.	HISTO	OFFICER Lynn RY (Max. 25 pts.) (I	R647–7-103.2.11)	ı.		(2)		
	A. 1	Are there previous victoday's date?	olations, which are	e not pending or vac	cated, which fall three	e (3) years of		
	PREVIC	OUS VIOLATIONS None	EFFEC	CTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pt	s for CO)		
				TC	TAL HISTORY PO	DINTS 0		
	 Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents. Is this an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? Event (A) (assign points according to A or B) A. EVENT VIOLATIONS (Max 45 pts.) What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?							
	2.	What is the probabi designed to prevent		nce of the event wh	ich a violated standar	d was		
		PROBABILITY	POINT RANGE	PROBABILITY	POINT RANGE			
		None	0	Likely	10-19			
		Unlikely	1-9	Occurred	20			
		ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 15						
	PROVII	DE AN EXPLANAT						
	conto	aminated) after a fuel	container had bee	en unknowingly tipp	ped over by heavy equ	uipment.		
	<u>Imag</u>	res of the fuel contain	er show that it was	s not within an area	of secondary contai	nment, and		
	the w	ould likely hold in ex	cess of 100 gallor	. Points are assign	ed at the mid-point o	f the "likely"		

range.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?: <u>Nearly 100 sq. ft. of surface area showed signs of contamination. It is estimated that the fuel container held in excess of 100 gallon. While it is unknown of the volume actually spilled, potentially damage could be significant.</u>

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (Range 0-25) 10

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: About 100 gallon of fuel had spilled affecting an area of nearly 100 sq.f.t to a depth of 6 iches. Only soil resources were actually damaged, there was no ground water affected, although given time it could have leached into the ground water system. Damage points are assigned just below midpoint of the range.

B. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS</u> (Max 25pts)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____ Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 25

III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was	1
unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?)	,
Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?)	;
Greater Degree of Fault (reckless, knowing or intentional conduct) 16 - 30)

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE: Negligent

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 18

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Not only was the operator negligent in storing the fuel properly, the container had been knocked over by heavy equipment, and the operator apparently made no attempt to report or clean up the spill until after being discovered by the inspector and cited. This demonstrates reckless, knowing or intentional conduct. Since this is a first violation of this nature for the operator, points are assigned near the lower end of the 'Greater Degree of Fault' range.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? Yes (effective date of 10/12/2015).

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

	Point Range
Rapid Compliance	-11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required, or,	
Operator requested an extension to abatement time) (Permittee	
took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of	
the violation, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete.)	

<u>EASY OR DIFFICULT</u> ABATEMENT? <u>Easy Abatement – Operator had necessary resources on site to abate the violation.</u>

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 2

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Operator completed the abatement in less time than allotted (30 days allotted, abatement completed in 27 days) points awarded based on percentage of time it took to abate vs.time allotted.</u>

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	25
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	18
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	-2
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	41
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$2,310.00